

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/500,243	10/25/2004	Kiyotaka Uchimoto	4035-0169PUS1	8938
2292 7590 10/05/2006			EXAMINER	
2111011012	VART KOLASCH & B	NGUYEN E	NGUYEN BA, PAUL H	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHUR	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2176	

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/500,243	UCHIMOTO ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
·	Paul Nguyen-Ba	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING I - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI ute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	June 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.). 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	on.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-10 is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>5,6 and 11-13</u> is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement					
o) are subject to restriction and	, or olookon roquilaments					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	an priority under 35 U.S.C.	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)				
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bure	•					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies no	received.				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Notice of Draitsperson's Fatent Brawing Review (FTO-940) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/25/2001. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/500,243 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

- 1. This action is responsive to Original Application filed on 6/25/2004.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are currently pending. Claims 1 and 7 are independent claims.

Priority

3. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claims 5, 6, and 11-13 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend from any other multiple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 5, 6, and 11-13 have not been further treated on the merits.
- 5. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: The word "persing" in line 4 of claim 2 should be replaced by the word "parsing". Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,243 Page 3

Art Unit: 2176

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1-4 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Abe et al. ("Abe"), U.S. Patent No. 5,473,705.

Regarding independent claim 1, Abe discloses:

A text generation method for generation method for generating a text including a sentence (see Title and Abstract: Abe discloses a system and method that recognizes words and supplements omitted words to thereby generated a spoken language), comprising:

➤ an extracting step for extracting, from a database, a text or a phrase related to the keyword (see col. 5 lines 13-18 and Figs. 4 and 5: Dependence relationships are analyzed and extracted using the analysis table, word dictionary, analysis stack area, and case dictionary), and

> a text generation step for generating an optimum text based on the input keyword by combining the extracted text or phrase (see col. 5 lines 19-26

and Fig. 6: The optimum estimated omitted words supplement the keywords to generate a complete sentence.

Regarding claim 2, Abe discloses an arrangement where the text is extracted in the extracting step, morphological analyzing and parsing the extracted text in the text generation step, acquiring a dependency structure of the text, and generating a dependency structure containing the keyword (see Figs 4 and 5; col. 5 lines13-18 and col. 6 line 64 through col. 8 line 18: Dependence relationships are analyzed and extracted using the analysis table, word dictionary, analysis stack area, and case dictionary).

Regarding claim 3, Abe discloses in the course of generating the dependency structure containing the keyword in the text generation step, determining the probability of dependency of the entire text using a dependency model, wherein generating a text having a maximum probability as an optimum text (see col. 7 lines 65-67 et seq.: The most probable dependence relationship of a plurality of candidates is the optimum text).

Regarding claim 4, Abe discloses wherein in the middle of or after the generation of the dependency structure in the text generation step, generating an optimum text having a natural word order based on a word order model (see col. 7 line 29 through col. 9 line 11).

Application/Control Number: 10/500,243

Art Unit: 2176

Independent claim 7 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as independent claim 1, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 8 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 2, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 9 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 3, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Claim 10 incorporates substantially similar subject matter as claim 4, and is rejected along the same rationale.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record on form PT0-892 and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Applicant is required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.111(c) to consider these references fully when responding to this action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Nguyen-Ba whose telephone number is (571) 272-4094. The examiner can normally be reached on 11 am - 7 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/500,243 Page 6

Art Unit: 2176

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on (571) 272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

PNB 10/1/06

> Heather R. Herndon Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 2100